# Case 3:17-cv-00346-RDM Document 1 Filed 02/24/17 Page 1 of 8 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Jeffrey Liedke & Jessica Liedke			Direct TV, Inc., Mastec Inc. t/a d/b/a Mastec Advanced Technologies John Doe Installer			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Carbon County  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF			
			THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)			
	, Esquire; 610-923-9200 n Highway, Easton, PA. 18045					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			TF DEF  1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant		Citiz	en of Another State	1 2		
			en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 Foreign Nation	<b>6 6</b>	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of Sui		
CONTRACT	TORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 367 Health Care/	-	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))  400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical Slander Personal Injury □ 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Person			PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 340 Marine Injury Product ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability Liability PERSONAL PROPE	3663	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits  ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits  ☐ 190 Other Contract  ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability  ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage	e 🖪 74	Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical	□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Product Liability  Medical Malpractice	,	Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	·		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		91 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 430 General ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence ☐ 530 General		Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<ul> <li>□ 896 Arbitration</li> <li>□ 899 Administrative Procedure         Act/Review or Appeal of         Agency Decision</li> <li>□ 950 Constitutionality of         State Statutes</li> </ul>	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment Other: ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 448 Education ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 550 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	ther	2 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	n n		
	moved from 3 Remanded from the Court Appellate Court	<u> </u>	pened Anoth (specifi	er District Litigation  Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	are filing (	Do not cite jurisdictional sta	ututes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Negligence/fall from ladder  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	n D arb	DEMAND & Exces Ditration 1	S CHECK YES only Imits jury demand	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 02/24/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD S/Ralph J. Bellafatto, Esquire					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AOTHT APPLATE		прс	MAC HI	DCE	

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NO.

PLAINTIFFS: :

JEFFREY LIEDKE :

JESSICA LIEDKE : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

VS.

DEFENDANTS: DIRECTV, INC.,

MASTEC, INC., t/a, d/b/a

MASTEC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES .:

JOHN DOE INSTALLER :

# <u>COMPLAINT</u>

## I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiffs Jeffrey Liedke and Jessica Liedke are adult individuals, husband and wife, residing at 69 Pope Lane, Carbon County, Albrightsville, PA.
- 2. Defendant DIRECTV, Inc. ("Direct TV") is a business corporation doing business and registered to do business in Pennsylvania with a corporation business address c/o Corporation Service Co., 2595 Interstate Drive, Harrisburg, Dauphin County, PA. 17110.
- 3. Defendant MasTec Inc., t/a, d/b/a MasTec Advanced Technologies ("MasTec"), is a business corporation doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with corporate offices located at 6446 S. Kenton Street, Suite 100, Centennial, CO 80111. MasTec is registered to do business in Pennsylvania and maintains a registered address at 252 Ferndale Lane, Downington, PA. 19335. At all times material hereto, Mastec was Direct TV's third party

installer for satellite television services, and its agent for the purposes of conduct which is the subject of this Complaint.

4. Defendant John Doe, is an as yet unidentified adult individual, and upon information and belief, at all times material hereto, was employed by MasTec to install Direct TV equipment, including specifically the installation at Plaintiffs' residence which is the subject of this Complaint. At all times hereto, John Doe was acting as the agent, servant, employee and/or joint venturer of both corporate Defendants and acted with their permission, and within the course and scope of his employment or agency, such that Direct TV and MasTec are vicariously liable for John Doe's negligence.

#### II. JURISDICTION

5. The jurisdiction of this Honorable Court is involved, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1332, diversity of citizenship in a case where the amount in controversy is in excess of \$75,000.00.

#### III. VENUE

6. This claim is properly venued in the Middle District of Pennsylvania in that all of the Plaintiffs and Defendants in this action reside and transact business within the Middle District of Pennsylvania, and all actions which are the subject of this Complaint occurred within the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

#### IV. FACTS

- 7. On or about January 25, 2016, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke had made arrangements with Direct TV to install a newly purchased Direct TV satellite cable at his residence at 69 Pope Lane, Albrightsville, Carbon County, Pennsylvania
  - 8. Thereafter John Doe arrived at Plaintiffs' residence to hook up six televisions and a

digital video recorder. John Doe arrived right around noon and proceeded to inspect the property for the most appropriate dish location to ensure a clear signal. After canvassing the property, John Doe advised that he could find "no clear line of sight" and told Liedke that he had three options; (1) call a supervisor to come to the property for a second opinion; (2) call a tree service; or (3) "we can cut down two branches off of a tree and you'll have TV today" with a clearly expressed recommendation and preference for the third option.

- 9. The installer asked Jeffery Liedke if he had a ladder and a chainsaw, which he did, and after retrieving the ladder from the garage, the two proceeded to the tree with the problem branches. The installer set the ladder against the tree, stomped it down into the ground and told Liedke that he would hold the ladder while Liedke climbed.
- 10. As he started up the ladder Liedke confirmed "hold the ladder", to which the installer responded "I got it" as he handed the chainsaw to Liedke. Liedke climbed up approximately 15 feet and cut the first branch without incident, which fell to the ground.
- 11. As Liedke began cutting the second branch, the ladder shook and started tilting to the side because John Doe had abandoned holding the ladder and instead was dragging the first branch away, and in the process dragged it against the bottom of the ladder causing it to become unstable, lean and then fall, and along with it, Liedke.
- 12. Liedke fell to the ground feet first, sustaining serious bodily injury, including a fracture of the calcaneus bones in his left heel as more fully set forth below.
- 13. John Doe then hurriedly left the scene, without even summoning assistance to help Liedke who was still laying in the snow on the ground unable to get up.

## **COUNT I**

### JEFFREY LIEDKE AND JESSICA LIEDKE

VS.

# <u>DIRECT TV, INC., MASTEC INC. t/a, d/b/a MASTEC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, AND JOHN DOE</u>

## NEGLIGENCE/VICARIOUS LIABILITY

- 14. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference, paragraphs 1 through 13 as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 15. Plaintiffs aver that the subject fall and the subsequent injury was caused as a direct and proximate result of Defendants', negligence. Defendants' negligence includes:
  - a. Failing to hold the ladder in a safe manner;
  - b. Failing to maintain proper and adequate control of the ladder;
  - c. Recommending the tree trimming;
  - d. Failing to warn Plaintiff that he was going to abandon holding the ladder;
  - e. Abandoning the ladder while Plaintiff was on it;
  - f. Dragging the cut branch into the base of the ladder causing it to become unstable and fall;
  - g. Deviating from safety procedures recommended and mandated by his employers;
- h. Failing to adequate stabilize the ladder or otherwise setting the ladder improperly;
  - I. Abandoning Plaintiff who was injured;
  - j. Otherwise causing the ladder to fall, causing Plaintiff's injuries;
  - 16. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke, acted with due care and did

not contribute to the occurrence of the incident in any way.

- 17. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Jeffrey Liedke, sustained various and sundry medical injuries, some or all of which may be permanent, including injuries to his foot, in the nature of a comminuted and displaced intra-articular calcaneal fracture with displacement of the fragments and depression of the posterior subtalar joint, requiring surgery.
- 18. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedkle, sustained expenses for medical treatment, to his pecuniary detriment and loss.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke, has sustained great bodily pain and suffering as well as inconvenience, emotional distress, and nervousness, to his pecuniary detriment and loss.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke, has suffered interruption, interference, and impediments in engaging in his daily habits and pursuits, and has sustained a loss of life's pleasures to his pecuniary detriment and loss.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke, has suffered work loss and/or loss of earning capacity.
  - 22. Some or all of Plaintiff's damages are continuing and/or may be permanent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jeffrey Liedke, demands judgment against Defendants in an amount in excess of arbitration limits, together with an award of costs and whatever additional relief the court deems appropriate.

#### **COUNT II**

## JESSICA LIEDKE

VS.

# <u>DIRECT TV, INC., MASTEC INC t/a, d/b/a MASTEC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES, AND JOHN DOE, INSTALLER</u>

#### **LOSS OF CONSORTIUM**

- 23. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 22 as though the same were set forth herein at length.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence and the subsequent fall, Plaintiff, Jessica Liedke has been deprived of the society, companionship, and assistance of her husband, Jeffrey Liedke, and has sustained a loss of consortium to her pecuniary detriment.
- 25. Some or all of Plaintiff, Jessica Liedkle's damages are continuing and/or may be permanent.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Jessica Liedke, demands judgment against Defendants in an amount in excess of arbitration limits, together with an award of costs and whatever additional relief the court deems appropriate.

RALPH J. BELLAFATTO, P.C.

BY s/ Ralph J. Bellafatto

Ralph J. Bellafatto, #43988 ralph@bellafatto.com 4480 William Penn Highway Easton, Pa. 18045 610-923-9200

### **VERIFICATION**

Jeffrey Liedke, being duly sworn according to law, deposes and says that he is Plaintiff herein, and that the facts set forth in the foregoing Complaint are based upon information which he has furnished to his counsel and information which has been gathered by his counsel in the preparation of this Complaint. The language of the foregoing Complaint is that of counsel and not of the undersigned himself. The undersigned has read the foregoing Complaint, and, to the extent that it is based upon information which has been given to his counsel, that information is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. To the extent that the content of the foregoing Complaint is that of counsel, the undersigned has relied upon counsel in making this affidavit. The undersigned understands that the statements therein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C. S. Section 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Dated: a/a3/17